American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research (AJHSSR)

e-ISSN:2378-703X

Volume-08, Issue-06, pp-326-330

www.ajhssr.com

Research Paper

Open Access

# ANALYSISOFRESIDENT'SPERCEPTIONABOUTTHE CITY'S IMAGE SEI RAMPAH

B O Y Marpaung<sup>1</sup>, Achmad Delianur Nasution<sup>2</sup>, Dewi Arini<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Department of Architecture/ Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sumatera Utara Medan Indonesia)

<sup>2,3</sup>(DepartmentofArchitecture/FacultyofEngineering,UniversitasSumateraUtaraMedanIndonesia)

Corresponding author:BOYMarpaung

**ABSTRACT**: City image is amental picture captured by the senses and understood by each individual in the form of a unique impression and view of the city. Perception of the city influences the desire to settle in the city. Sei Rampah is the capital of Serdang Bedagai district which is traversed by the East Sumatra route. This city isa small city that has historical value and is currently undergoing urban development to improve the city'simage. The perception assessment of the image of the city of Sei Rampah was carried out by distributing questionnaires regarding twenty-one dimensional aspects of the city's image. The aspects assessed consist of 4 variables, namely city facilities, recreation, security and public services. Of these 4 aspects, there are 21 instruments that form questionnaire questions for 100 respondents. The results of the respondent's assessments were searchedfortheaverage, thenthetrendof perceptiontowardstheimageof thecityin question waslooked at. Of all the respondents who were residents who lived in the city of Sei Rampah, the highest score for the perception of the city's image was the indicator that got the highest average score, namely the availability of bank branches. and post office, close to the capital city, and availability of public areas. The benefit of this research is to increase knowledge about the image dimensions of the city of Sei Rampah. The implication is the policy carried out by the regional government to support the image of the city of Sei Rampah.

KEYWORDS: Perception, Cityimage, Resident, Service, Municipal Facilities

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The image of a city is formed from a mental picture of the city's identity that is captured by the senses and understood by each individual in the form of a distinctive or unique impression and view of the city. The image of the city is produced from various perceptions of city residents which are formed from an emotional relationship with the city, not only emphasizing the physical elements of the city that are built and visible [1]. The image of a city is important in planning city development programs in order to shape the city's identity and increase the attractiveness of the city [2]. City development is important to increase the interest of city residents tolive.SeiRampahCityisthecapitalofSerdangBedagaiRegencywhichwillbepassedwhencrossingtheEast route. This city was historically a spice producer and trading center during the Bedagai Kingdom, witha settlement center that developed along the Rampah River. Over time and the development of vehicles, the construction of service trade centers has shifted to follow the longitudinal structure of the road, although it remains close to the river boundary. The trade and service center in the city of Sei Rampah is characterized by the formation of shophouses with Peranakan Chinese and colonial architectural styles. However, unfortunately the condition of this shop house has been left neglected. Before the toll road was built, traffic jams were a problem in this city because of the small dimensions of the roads. The formation of the city's image by developing physical elements is carried out by the Serdang Bedagai Regional Government. The construction of the Great Mosque, city square, regional library and renovation of the Regent's office are efforts to create a new image for the city of Sei Rampah. City image is the perception and beliefs of residents which are reflected in the collection contained in memory [3]. Robbins state divides this into two forms of perception, namely negative and positive [4]. Developing a positive image not only helps increase a city's competitiveness but also strengthens local identity, which contributes to increasing the self-confidence of the city's residents [5]. According to Cassia city image is a multidimensional construction that can provide the public with a better perception of the city [6].

This research aims to find residents' perceptions about the image of the city of Sei Rampah. Non-physical aspects such as public perception and views are also a concern regarding the image reputation of the Sei Rampah city corridor. This research has the benefit of analyzing the image of the area which can be used to determine development directions that further strengthen the image of the capital, so that it becomes anattraction that has influence and benefits for the development of the city of Sei Rampah towards a city with a positive image in Indonesia.

# II. THEOROTICALBACKGROUND

City image is a mental image that depends on perceptions of the city regarding the services andfacilities provided by a city, and on more emotional and affective components [7]. Two main research streams can be identified regarding city image—one that focuses on external stakeholders, particularly tourists [8], and another that focuses on internal stakeholders, such as residents or local businesses [9]. The image of a city has realconsequences for the attitudes and behavior of both residents and tourists [10]. Therefore, understanding the city's image is important for city governance and branding because it allows identification of the city's weaknesses and strengths.

There are many studies regarding perceptions of city image related to the subjectivity of city image dimensions. However, most of this research discusses it from the tourist perspective. Gilboa et all [10] summarized 39 studies examining city image dimensions published from 2001 to 2013 that relate to city image. The summary of this research produces 4 dimensional scales of city image, namely:

#### ItemScale

Factor 1 - City Facilities

- a. Good air quality
- b. Good lighting at night
- c. Great Public Transportation
- d. Friendly to Elderly
- e. Good Roads and Pedestrian Paths
- f. Ease of communication with the Government
- g. Area public
- h. Green Line

## Factor II - Leisure/Recreation

- a. Entertainment Facilities
- b. Close to the capital
- c. Close to the toll road
- d. Recreational place for children/families

# Factor III - Security

- a. Quiet place
- b. Safe place
- c. A place with low crime
- d. Not crowded/crowded

# Factor IV – Public Services

- a. Bank branches/post offices available
- b. Shops and markets are available
- c. There are adequate health facilities available

### III. METHODOLOGY

This research is descriptive quantitative research using data collection methods by distributing questionnaires. The selection of quantitative methods was used to measure the level of perception of SeiRampah city residents regarding the city's image. Using the randomizer research method, 100 respondents will be selected who represent the population. Sample selection was carried out using the probability sampling method. Samples were selected randomly taking into account predetermined criteria. Respondents are residents who live in the city of Sei Rampah.

The list of questions consists of several parts, namely social demographic data such as age, gender, education, occupation, and length of stay in the Sei Rampah corridor area. From this list of questions, the relevance of viewpoints in conducting evaluations will be revealed. The second part contains respondents' evaluations regarding perceptions of the image of the city of Sei Rampah based on several aspects. The list of questions provided is based on research by Gilboa [10] and Cassia [12] regarding 18 city image instruments. Respondents will be asked several questions which will be assessed based on a Likert Scale which is dividedinto 5 scales, starting from disagree (value 1) to strongly agree (value 5). Respondents will be asked to circle only one assessment. In accordance with the research of Boone [13], processing the Likert scale to produce an average rating scale leads to an assessment that is described. The calculation of perceptions of city image indicators is based on a normal distributionobtained from theaverage value and standard deviation [14](Table 1). The assessment scale is positive, negative perception according to Robbins [4].

Assessment	AverageTotal Value
Very Negative	1≤x≤1.8
Negative	1.8≤x≤2.6
Neutral	2.6≤x≤3.4
Positive	3.4≤x≤4.2
Very Positive	4.2 <x<5< td=""></x<5<>

Table1.Assessmentand Average TotalValue

# IV. RESULTANDDISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Respondent's Profile

There were 100 respondents who filled out the questionnaire, consisting of 53 women and 46 men. From the age range of respondents, 48% were 20-30 years old, then 44% were 30-50 years old, then 7% were under 20 years old, then the rest were over 50 years old. Of the respondents' occupations, as we can see in diagram 5.3, the majority of respondents' occupations are private/honorary workers at 37%, followed by civil servants at 22%, thenfollowed byother worker sectors at 14%. 12% of respondents are self-employed, then 9% are students. The rest are traders and housewives. From the range of respondents' latest education diagram, the majority of respondents have a diploma/bachelor's degree at 52%, followed by high school graduates at 42%, then respondents have taken a master's degree, and the remainder are primary education graduates.

## 4.2 MeasurementValidation

The statement given is based on 4 factors, namely city facilities, recreation, security and city services. Before the data was processed, researchers carried out validity testing and reliability testing on the questionnaire. The results of the validity tests how that the questionnaire is valid. Apart from that, the results of the reliability tests on the city facilities indicator show an alpha value of 0.6 < x < 0.7 so it is included in the quite good category, and shows an alpha value of 0.7 < x < 0.8 so it is included in the good category.

#### 4.3 Result

To see residents' perceptions of the image of the city of Sei Rampah, the average value of all respondents' assessments of each aspect was examined (Table 2). From residents' perceptions of aspects of Sei Rampah city facilities, neutral assessment conditions can be seen in the lighting indicators, elderlyfriendliness, road conditions, pedestrian conditions, and the availability of green areas. Meanwhile, indicators of air quality, transportation, communication with the government, and availability of public areas obtained positive perception results in the dimensions of city image assessment. The recreational aspect of the perception of the city's image, obtained positive results on the indicators of entertainment facilities and proximity to the capital city. The indicator of proximity to toll roads and recreation areas for children and families was given a neutral value by respondents. In the security aspect, residents assess the Sei Rampah city indicators as a calm city, and the low crime rate has neutral results. Safe indicators and uncrowded layouts gain positive perception. In the aspect of public services, residents feel a neutral perception regarding the availability of shops and health facilities, but a positive perception is given to the availability of bank branches/post offices.

The threeindicators that received the highest average scores were the availability of bank branches and post

offices, proximity to the capital city, and the availability of public areas.

Table 2.Rating Scale of SeiRampah's CityImageDimensions

RatingScale										
Indicator	1	2	3	4	5	MEAN	SD	Result		
MunicipalFacilities										
Airquality	5	12	29	38	16	3.48	1.058	Positive		
LightingLamps	6	21	27	27	19	3.32	1.179	Neutral		
Transportation	3	11	22	41	23	3.7	1.039	Positive		
Senior Friendly	13	21	24	28	14	3.09	1.256	Neutral		
Road	13	8	25	35	19	3.39	1.254	Neutral		
Pedestrian	18	23	27	20	12	2.85	1.274	Neutral		
Local Government Communications	4	22	20	31	13	3.47	1.184	Positive		
PublicArea	6	10	24	36	24	3.62	1.135	Positive		
GreenArea	11	13	21	39	16	3.36	1.218	Neutral		
Recreation										
EntertainmentFacilities	2	11	34	34	19	3.57	0.987	Positive		
Closetothe capital	2	4	10	46	38	4.14	0.899	Positive		
Closeto the tollroad	16	20	28	25	11	2.95	1.242	Neutral		
Recreationalplacefor children and families	16	23	24	25	12	2.94	1.269	Neutral		
Security										
Calm	9	12	27	35	17	3.39	1.171	Neutral		
Safe	7	10	26	40	17	3.50	1.105	Positive		
Lowcrime rate	4	16	31	36	13	3.38	1.032	Neutral		
Not crowded/crowded	2	16	26	38	18	3.54	1.029	Positive		
Public Service										
Bank branches/post offices available	2	4	10	46	38	4.14	0.899	Positive		
Shop/market available	16	20	28	25	11	2.95	1.242	Neutral		
Health facilities available	e 16	23	24	25	12	2.94	1.269	Neutral		

## **V.CONCLUSION**

The aim of this research is to determine the perception assessment of the image of the city of Sei Rampah by the residents of SeiRampah. The results of thisresearch are toincrease knowledge [7]. Research on residents' perceptions of city image is relatively rare compared to tourists' perceptions of tourist destinations. In fact, residents' perceptions must bean importantpart of citydevelopment programs sothatcities have value and memory. good perception in the minds of city residents. Good perceptions also support residents' desire to remain in the cityOverall, the assessment of the city image dimension indicators carried out by residents gave positive and neutral results, there were no negative results. The three indicators that received the highest average scores were the availability of bank branches and post offices, proximity to the capital city, and the availability of public areas. The neutral perception results regarding several indicators are a note for researchers, because respondents do nothavea decisiononwhethertheir perception ispositiveornegative. This impression becomes biased, and doubts appear, and the absence of a prominent perception. This is a statement as to whether there is no impression left on the image dimension indicators. Of the 21 indicators, respondents found 11 neutral assessments, while 10 received positive results. The findings of this research can contribute to enriching knowledge about city image assessment. The implications given for city planners, local governments and city marketers can consider aspects that need to be improved to improve the city's image. The indicators for

cityfacilities in Sei Rampah are indicators that need to be improved, such as pedestrian paths and being friendly to the elderly. The availability of family recreation is also felt to have an ordinary image. There needs to be increased facilities from the community and local government to improve the image of Sei Rampah city.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Lynch, Kevin. Theimageofthecity. (MITpress, 1964)
- [2] PETTRICIA, Hana Ayu; WARDHANI, Dian Kusuma; ANTARIKSA, Antariksa. Elemen pembentuk citrakawasan bersejarah dipusatkotamalang. RUAS, 2014, 12.1: 10-23.
- [3] KOTLER, Philip, et al. Marketing management: an Asian perspective. London: Pearson, 2018.
- [4] Robbins, Stephen P. Thetruthabout managing people--and nothing but the truth. FTPress, 2002.
- [5] DINNIE, Keith. Introduction to the theory of city branding. In: City branding: theory and cases. London: Palgrave MacmillanUK, 2011. p. 3-7.
- [6] Cassia, Fabio, et al. "Exploring cityimage: Residents' versus tourists' perceptions. "The TQM Journal 30.5(2018):476-489.
- [7] Stylidis, Dimitrios, Amir Shani, and Yaniv Belhassen. "Testinganintegrated destination image model across residents and tourists." Tourism management 58 (2017): 184-195.
- [8] Boo, Soyoung, James Busser, and SeyhmusBaloglu. "A model of customer-based brand equity and its application to multipledestinations." Tourism management 30.2 (2009): 219-231.
- [9] Merrilees, Bill, DaleMiller, and Carmel Herington. "Multiple stakeholders and multiple city brand meanings." European Journalof Marketing 46.7/8 (2012): 1032-1047.
- [10] Gilboa, Shaked, et al. "A summated rating scale formeasuring city image." Cities 44(2015):50-59.
- [11] Luque-Martínez, Teodoro, et al. "Modelingacity's image: The case of Granada." Cities 24.5 (2007): 335-352.
- [12] Cassia, Fabio, et al. "Exploring cityimage: Residents' versus tourists' perceptions. "The TQM Journal 30.5(2018):476-489.
- [13] BooneJr, Harry N., and Deborah A. Boone. "Analyzing likert data." The Journal of extension 50.2 (2012):48.
- [14] Marisa, Amy, and Nor'AiniYusof." Factors influencing the performance of architects inconstruction projects." Construction Economics and Building 20.3 (2020): 20-36.